





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,608 12/08/2000		2000	Robert A. Weaver	291958124US	4198
25096	7590	03/27/2002			
PERKINS (	COIE LLP			EXAM	NER
PATENT-SE			IP, SIKYIN		
P.O. BOX 12		47		11,011	CIII
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1742	
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	t beneath the correspondence address-	
Period for Reply	1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory mi out, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication .	
Status	,		
☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on (2/0	8/00	·	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>			
Disposition of Claims			
☑ Claim(s) ( - ( ○ )	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)	· ' ·	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		•	
□ Claim(s) 1-10 1			
		requirement.	
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	• •	d O diament	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object.	• •	• •	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	jected to by the Examine	91.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	:		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	of the priority document	s have been	
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the I</li> </ul>	•		
*Certified copies not received:		<del></del>	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	□ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1	

Office Action Summary

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Other\_\_

Serial No: 09/733,608

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-57 are, drawn to an apparatus for thermally processing a microelectronic workpiece, classified in class 257.
  - II. Claims 58-77 and 81-101 are, drawn to a method for thermally processing a microelectronic workpiece, classified in class 438.
  - III. Claims 78-80, drawn to a method for forming a plurality of chamber for thermally processing a microelectronic workpiece, classified in class 438.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II-III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as use Al based material.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial No: 09/733,608 -3-

Art Unit: 1742

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. If method claims are elected, inventions II and III are related as patentably distinct species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Sérial No: 09/733,608

Art Unit: 1742

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (703) 308-2542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (703)-308-1146.

The facsimile phone numbers are (703) 872-9310 (non-final Official Paper only), (703) 872-9311 (after-final Official Paper only), and (703) 305-7719 (Unofficial Paper only). When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

ζ ζ

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. Ip March 25, 2002